

REMARKS**Summary of the Office Action**

Claims 1 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiki (US 5,406,308), and claims 2, 4, and 5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiki in view of Sekido et al. (US 5,999,158). Claims 6 and 7 are allowed.

Summary of the Response to the Office Action

Applicant has amended claims 1 and 3 to further define the invention. Accordingly, claims 1-7 are presently pending for consideration.

All Claims Define Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiki and claims 2, 4, and 5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiki in view of Sekido et al. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courtesies extended to

Applicant's representative during the telephone conference of January 26, 2005. In response to the Examiner's suggestions made during the telephone conference, Applicant amends claims 1 and 3 to recite that "the reset signal is fed back and connected to the source shift clock."

Applicant respectfully asserts that Shiki does not teach or suggest that the reset signal is fed back and connected to the source shift clock. Therefore, claims 1 and 3 are not obvious. Moreover, the Office Action does not rely on Sekido, et al. to remedy the deficiencies of Shiki, and hence dependent claims 2-7 also are not obvious. Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests entry of the amendments, reconsideration and the timely allowance of all pending claims. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of this response, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0310. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such as an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: May Jane Boswell
May Jane Boswell
Reg. No. 33,652

Date: February 11, 2005

CUSTOMER NO. 009629
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 739-3000